Document 5

Filed 11/21/2007

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-04290-MHP

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18

19 20

> 21 22

2324

25 26

27

28

Joint Case Management
Statement and [proposed] Order,
C:07-04290 -MEJ

b. Defendants

Plaintiff, Mortgage Electronic Registration System, Inc. Is a mortgage lender in San Francisco. Defendant Reineccius borrowed \$1,000,000 and \$273,000 from GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Plaintiff's predecessor. The Notice of Federal Tax Lien and State Tax Lien were recorded prior in time. Plaintiff alleges that neither it nor GreenPoint had notice of the federal and state tax liens. Plaintiff alleges that it has priority over both the federal and state tax liens and/or that the doctrine of equitable subrogation should apply.

2. The principal factual issues which the parties dispute:

Defendants are not aware of any factual disputes.

3. The principal legal issues which the parties dispute:

- I. The priorities of the parties' interest in the real property and any proceeds derived from the sale of the property.
- 4. The other factual issues [e.g. service of process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction or venue] which remain unresolved for the reason stated below and how the parties propose to resolve those issues:

Defendants are not aware of any.

5. The parties which have not been served and the reasons:

Defendants are not aware of any.

6. The additional parties which the below-specified parties intend to join and the

intended time frame for such joinder:

Defendants are not aware of any.

7. The following parties consent to assignment of this case to a United States

Magistrate Judge for [court or jury] trial:

1	b.	<u>Defendants</u>	
2	Defendants United States of America and State of California, Employment Development		
3	Department consent to assignment to a United States Magistrate for trial.		
4		ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION	
5	8.	Please indicate the appropriate responses.	
6		The case was automatically assigned to Nonbinding Arbitration at filing and will be	
7		ready for the hearing by (date)	
8		Not applicable.	
9		The parties have filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting an ADR Process	
10		(specify process):	
11		Not applicable.	
12		The parties filed a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference and the phone	
13		conference was held on or is scheduled for	
14		Not applicable.	
15		The parties have not filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting an ADR	
16		Process and the ADR process that the parties joint request [or a party separately	
17		requests] is	
18		Not applicable.	
19	9.	Please indicate any other information regarding ADR process or deadline.	
20	a.	<u>Parties</u>	
21		Defendants believe this case is susceptible to resolution on motion for summary	
22		judgment. Consequently, they do not believe that assignment to an ADR process at this	
23		time would be appropriate. In the event the Court denies the United States' Motion, the	
24		United States will promptly advise the Court as to its request for assignment to an	
25		appropriate ADR process.	
26			
27			
28	Joint Case Management Statement and [proposed] Order, C:07-04290 -MEJ		

DISCLOSURES

The parties certify that they have made the following disclosures [list 10. disclosures of persons, documents, damage computations and insurance agreements]:

The parties agree that the initial disclosures will be made on or before the case management conference or within a reasonable time thereafter.

DISCOVERY

11. The parties agree to the following discovery plan [Describe the plan e.g., any limitation on the number, duration or subject matter for various kinds of discovery; discovery from experts; deadlines for completing discovery]:

a. Parties

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendants were unable to confer with all parties regarding discovery but will attempt to do so prior to the case management conference and propose a discovery plan at that time.

TRIAL SCHEDULE

12. The parties request a trial <u>date as follows</u>:

Defendants were unable to confer with all parties regarding discovery but will attempt to do so prior to the case management conference and propose a trial date at that time.

13. The parties expect that the trial will last for the following number of days:

Not applicable at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT SCHOOLS United States Attorney

Dated: *November 21, 2007*: /s/ Cynthia Stier

CYNTHIA STIER

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Attorneys for the United States of America

Dated: November 21, 2007: /s/ Marguerite Stricklin

MARGUERITE STRICKLIN

California State Attorney General's Office

Attorney for State of CA EDD

27 28

Joint Case Management Statement and [proposed] Order, C:07-04290 -MEJ

1	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER		
2	The Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by the Court as		
3	the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.		
4	In addition the Court orders:		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	Dated:		
11	THE HONORABLE MARIA-ELENA JAMÉS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Joint Case Management Statement and [proposed] Order, C:07-04290 -MEJ		